

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/643,145	08/18/2003	James H. Stephens JR.	FOR03012	3310
22850	7590 07/13/2005	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			CHAN, WING F	
	IIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	<del></del> -

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/643,145	STEPHENS, JAMES H.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wing F. Chan	2643				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Falure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Nolce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/643,145

Art Unit: 2643

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 2

2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, line 11 "the video call information" lacks antecedent basis, it appears that it should be 'conference information' to be correct.

Dependent claims 2-16 are also rejected for the same reason since they are dependent on a rejected base claim and contain the same problem(s).

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,633,324. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably

Application/Control Number: 10/643,145

Art Unit: 2643

distinct from each other because the present claims are directed to the same invention albeit in obvious alternate language.

For example, consider patent claim 1 and present claim 1.

Patent claim 1:	Present claim 1:
A method for configuring video calls, the	A method for configuring a conference
method comprising:	among communications devices, the
	method comprising:
querying a platform with video call	querying a platform with conference
information for establishing a video call	information for establishing a conference
between plural video and points;	between plural communication devices;
providing the video call information to a	providing the conference information to a
rules-based engine;	rules-based engine;
and applying rules and device data with	and applying rules and communication
the rules-based engine to determine one	device data with the rules-based engine to
or more video call configurations for a	determine one or more communication
video call corresponding to the video call	device configurations for a conference
information.	corresponding to the video call
	information.

As can be seen from the above comparison, present claim 1 is similar and obvious over patent claim 1 where the present claim recites conference and

Art Unit: 2643

communication devices while the patent claim recites video calls and video end points instead. However, present claim 1 and patent claim 1 are directed to the same invention and are not patentably distinct from each other. As disclosed in col. 4 lines 21-28 of the patent specification, the video calls are for establishing video conferences. Therefore, in view of this definition of the patentee, the terms "video call" and "conferences", "video endpoints" and "communication devices" are the same and interchangeable, therefore to replace "video call" with "conferences", "video endpoints" with "communication devices" as in present claim 1 would have been obvious over patent claim 1 and are not patentably distinct from each other and in the absence of a terminal disclaimer would result in possible harassment by multiple assignees.

Although only exemplary claim 1 is discussed above, the above analysis is applicable to the other claims. Furthermore, since the claim is in comprising format it is open to cover other non-claimed features; the features of the dependent claims which are dependent on the other independent claims are disclosed in the patent and covered by the comprising format of patent claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wing F. Chan whose telephone number is 571-272-7493. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

. .....

Wing F. Chan
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2643

6/3/05